

App. F. IX-4

1925.

File No. 23625

Previous References

(*Muzrai*)

Serial Nos.) - 6

Later References

Aug 20. 42. 1.2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. *6*

Part B for *February 1927*

SUBJECT

Recommends that a payment of Rs 1-12-4 may be made to Ramachandrarachar as Acharya Purnusha Mesigade in the Sri Rangasasthawan temple at Tirumala, Madadi Taluq.

G.O. No 1689-70
dated 9. 2. 27

To the Muz. Comr
a copy

Sanction the Muz. Comr's recommendation



GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(May)

NOTES.

File No 23/225-

FILE HEADING

Claim of Magadi Ramachandrachar for Acharya
 Purusha ^{remuneration} Maryade in the Sri Ranganathaswami Temple.
 at Tirumale, Magadi Taluk.

From

The Mag. Court in Mysore

No. 778 of 22-3 Dated 9/13-2 26

Re - ven

Diary No. 924

Enclos ² sp. Spare Copies

Serial No. /

BRIEF ASPECT OF MATTER.

Recommend that a payment of Rs 1-0-4 per mensem may
 be made to Ramachandrachar, as Acharya Purusha Maryade
 in the above temple, ~~in recognition of~~ without the condition
 of doing any service in return for it

NOTES AND ORDERS.

- 1; The claim of Magadi Ramachandrachar for
 payment of arrears of stipend ^{from 1905-08} fixed for Acharya
 Purusha in the Tirumale Temp. is based on the
 Sanad granted by H H the Maharaja.
- 2 The endorsement no 281 of 11-8-76 is noted.

Serial
No.

7 Venkata Desikachar by the then Mysore
Supdt. Mr. A. Srinivasaiah, copy of
which has been produced, goes to show that
the Service of Bhaskara Parayana was
attached to the Nchariya Purusha office,
to avoid the chance of the remuneration
to the office being done away with at some
future date on the ground of no service;
and that a service consistent with the
dignity of the office was therefore attached
to it.

8 3. The ~~per~~ written representation of
Ramachandrarachar of 28.8.25, has not
been forwarded here, as stated in the

9 A C's letter. May it be called for?

4. In the revised scale of expenditure
of the temple sanctioned in G.O. No. 1133-35/Muz
45-18-2 of 17-10-18 there is a separate post
for Sri Bhaskara, carrying a remuneration
of Rs 1-4 0 per mensem. The post of Divya-
prabhu da & Nchariya Purusha carry with
it a remuneration of Rs 1-8 0 per mensem.

5 The claim of Ramachandrarachar is for
payment of remuneration attached to the
office, without doing any service, though
he is willing to do service voluntarily.

6. In view of the above circumstances, the
Muz. Commr. proposes that the remuneration
to the office may be reduced to 1-0-4, as it
~~was~~ ^{stood} originally, & the claim of Ramachandrarachar

This may be
called for.)
✓

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Muz. File No. 236

Serial
No.

for payment of arrears from 1904-05 ^{at that rate} ~~also~~ also in future at the same rate allowed -

7 subd. for orders on the M.C.'s proposal -

SD
24-2-26

SD
24-2-26

Rev. Secy

SD
26/2

FA in P. U.D.

May be called for

h
2/3 3/3

Draft letter is put up

SD
3/3

SD
3/3/26

SD
3/3

2. To. The Muz. Commr. No 1141 of 8.3.26.

Calls for the written ~~statement~~ representation of 28.8.25 of Ramachandrachar up to in it as enclosure.

3. From, The Muzrai Commr.

Letter no. c. 778 of 14.3.26.

Submits The written representation of Ramachandrachar, Acharya Purusha of Sri Ranganatha Swamy Temple at Tirumale.

The Acharya purusha states that as directed in the Tardik Patti of 1896, he has been attending to Bhastya Parayana and prays for arrears due to him.

Serial
No.

1) Submitted for orders on the
M. C's proposal contained
in St. 1.

M. C. 26. 26 Rev. Secy 21/3
30. 3. 26 30. 3. 26

The M. C's proposal, may be v.

18. 11. 1924 2/4 2/8/4

84
5.4

The same for the M. C. may be v.
by the M. C. in person

27/4

Draft reply to the M. C. Com.
as personally directed by the
First Member is subd. for approval

26 Rev. Secy 15/4
15/6/26

18. 11. 1924 2/4 2/8/4

27/4 2/8/4

4 To, Mr. C. C. C.

No 1358 of 26. 4. 26

Enquires whether the
Chandachan first applied for
the annuities, whether his claim
was rejected and so on what grounds
such as the case of the long delay
in adjusting this claim is etc.

Serial
No.

From, the Insp. Comm.

Letter no. C. 778 dt 4.1.27.

Submits further information
called for above, and requests orders
sanctioning the arrears claim in question.

As regards specifics of the late
member in Sl. 4 of the office-note,
the following information is submitted.

It will be seen that Ramachandra
Chari has been urging his claim
for the past 18 years, that his
applications were not rejected in
the past, and that the Taluk
authorities are responsible for
delay in making payments of
arrears to him.

Submitted for orders on the M.C's
proposal for payment
21.1.27. to the effect of Rs 1-0-4

per month instead of Rs 1-4-0
to Ramachandra Chari, Achar, Gopur, Shalapur,
Mandya Taluk, Mysore District. The sanction of Rs 1-4-0
together with the accumulated arrears

21.1.27

(21/1/27)

22/1


May be sanctioned

22/1/27

22/1/27 enclosing is submitted.

25.1.27. 31/1/27

re Ramachandra
Chari. Sl. 4 dt 2/8/26)




General and Revenue
Secretariat*mer* File No. 236of 1925 *5-26*Serial
No.*6. 20. mer Comm. & Compt
No 1469-7077 9.2.27
Sanchezas two ms
Recommendation**H. H. H.*

No. C 778 ^{of 22-3} _{on 22-3} Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 9th February 1926.

3448

From



B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
1 FEB 1926

MUZRAI

D. 924

Muz 236-48-1

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

S2
A | I have the honor to submit herewith the entire records -- relating to the claim of Acharyapurusha Ramachendrachar for ---- Acharya Purusha maryade in the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at ---- Tirumale, Magadi Taluk, due to him from the year 1904-05 and to state that the Deputy Commissioner's letter with which the records were submitted for orders and copy of which is herein enclosed - gives the circumstance under which the monthly cash allowance -- payable to the Acharya Purusha fell into arrears. The petitioner Ramachendrachar was given a hearing. His written representation of 28th August 1925 is also enclosed. The petitioner claims that he has been doing the service all along and has no objection to doing so and that the stoppage of his allowance for the reason - that he did not recite the Paryana is not based on facts. This does not appear to be correct as in his statement before the --- Amildar he objected to render any service and claimed the payment as part of the maryade of Acharyapurusha. The endorsement given by Mr. Sreenivasa Charlu in August 1926 contemplated the stoppage of the payment in certain circumstances, but the petitioner's claim is that the payment is for maryade and no condition of ---- service should be tacked on to it.

From the records, it is seen that a payment of Rs. 1-0-4 per mensem was being made from a long time as part of the mary long before the revision by Mr. Sreenivasa Charlu (Vide Tasdik

Tasdik patti in muz.File No.79 of 1895 received with your letter No.1850 of 23rd June 1925 and herewith returned.)


In the recent revision of scale of expenditure sanctioned in Government Order No.1133-35/Muz.File 45-18-2 dated 17th October 1918, another man has been appointed for the Parayana and insisting on the petitioner's doing Parayana will be duplicating the office. In the circumstances I am inclined to relieve the --- petitioner of any duty of reciting Parayana, unless he does so - voluntarily as he says he is prepared to do (vide his petition of 23-8-25 above referred to) and at the same time reduce the payment from Re.1-4-0 (Re.1-3-0 as per revision of 1918) to Re.1-0-4 as it was before the revision and treat it as part of the Acharya-purusna maryade. The arrears may also be paid at this rate.

I solicit the favour of orders of Government in the matter.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,



Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

H/4

Letter No. P.1082 of 1919-20 dated 20th November 1922 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

I have the honor to submit herewith for orders the records ending with the late Muzrai Superintendent's No. 6501 dated 17th May 1922 and to state that a sum of Rs. 1-4-0 per month was being paid to the descendants of the late Swamigalu of the Sri Parakala Matta at Mysore as " Acharyapurusha Maryade " from the funds of the Sri Ranganatha Swami temple at Tirumale in the Magadi Taluk, But on 11th August 1896, Mr. Arcot Preenivasa Char, the then Muzrai Superintendent, ---- issued an endorsement to Venkatadesikachar stating that the payment would be made only when the incumbent performs " Bhashya Parayana " ^{in the temple} but claims the allowance as a sort of respect to the Acharyapurusha. *The enclosed records show that the present incumbent (Rama Chandra Char) did not perform Bhashya Parayana* In support of his claim, he produced a copy of the sannad granted by the late Highness Sri Krishnaraja Wodeyar III. This sannad does not contain anything about the monthly allowance of Rs. 1-4-0 from the Tirumale Sri Ranganathaswami Temple.

I therefore solicit orders whether payment may be made to the Acharya Purusha in the circumstances of the case.

" C O P Y "

2/11/22
13/11/22
for Muzrai Commissioner.
MU

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to... 924...

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Mur)
the 192

Date of issue

8/2/26

Signed by

Mr N

List of enclosures

Copied by....

Examined by

6/3/26

Section

mur

File No.

236-25

Serial No.

2

No.

1146

To

The Muzrai Court in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your
letter no. C. 778 of 22-23 & 13 2 26
re the claim of Ramachandrachar
of Magadi for Acharya Purnasha
Marayade in the Sri Ranganatha-
swami Temple at Tirumala,
Magadi Taluk, due to him from
1904-05, I am directed to request
that the written representation
of 28th August 25 of Ramachandra-
char, referred to in your letter, as
enclosed hereto
may be sent up -

I have etc

8/3

Secretary
Rev: Dept

6/3/26

38507

C 778 of 23
one Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 13th March 1926.

17

From



B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARY
18 MAR 1926
MIZ

E 11072
Muz 236-25-3

P. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

As desired in your letter No. 1141/Muz. 236-25-2 dated 8th --
March 1926 I have the honor to forward herewith the written -----
representation of 28th August 1925 of Ramachendrachar relating to
his claim for Acharya Purusha Maryade in the Sri Ranganathaswamy -
temple at Tirumale, Magady Taluk.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

B. Ramaswamaiya
Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

M

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section *Muz*
File No. *236-25*

No. *1358* Serial No. *4*

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 192

To *The Muz Comr*

Sir,

Date of issue..... *26/4/26*

Signed by..... *Mr. N.*

With reference to
your letter n^o. 778 of 22.3
of 17th March 1926
regarding the claim of
Ramaachandrapan for
arrear of
the Kelangan from the
marriage allowance
at the Sri Sanganatha
Svami Temple at
~~Devaswamikal~~ *Immaal*
Magaladi Taluk, Tan
district *Kongu*
where *he applied*
first
over

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

for the arrears of
the allowance, whether
his application for the
same was refused &
if so on what grounds
And ^{also} what is the reason is
for the such a long delay
in the adjustment of
this claim.

Have re

2
15/4
Rev Sec^r
Bb
15/4/28

2/4

No. ³⁹³¹² C 778 *Encl*
W/13. Office of the Muzrai Commissioner In Mysore
dated Bangalore the 31st December 1926.

From

U. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.
5 JAN 1927

MUZRAI

Muzrai Commissioner In Mysore, PANGALORE.


Muz 236-25-5

To

R. Sreenivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

The Maharaja of Mysore, Financial Department.



527
Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 1358/muz. 236-25-4 dated 26-4-1926 enquiring in the matter of the claim of Ramachendra-Char for arrears of the Acharya Purusha Maryade allowance in the Sri Ranganathaswamy temple at Tirumale, Magady Taluk, whether his application for the same was refused and if so on what grounds and also what the reason is for such a long delay in the adjustment of the claim, I have the honor to forward herewith the file & of records received with the Bangalore District Deputy Commissioner's letter No. U. 3-532-25 dated 13-12-26. In the enclosed records it is seen that the Amildar reports in his-... ittilla nos 181/25-26 there are no other references in the Taluk office and the claimant Ramachendra Char has stated before the Amildar, Mysore Taluk that he has been urging his claim for the past 18 years. The statement of Ramachendra Char made before the Amildar of the Mysore Taluk on 2-8-26 explains the cause of the long delay in the adjustment of his claim.

In the above circumstances, I request the favor of orders of Government sanctioning the arrear claim in the manner detailed in my letter of the 13th February 1926.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,

R. R.

2/Jan 27
For Muzrai Commissioner,
AR (Absent from the Station)

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore

Read.-

Correspondence ending with letter No.C.778 dated the 4th January 1927 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore reporting on the claim of Ramachandrachar for arrears of Acharyapurusha Mariyade allowance in the Sri Ranganathaswamy temple at Tirumale & in the Magadi Taluk.

Order No. 12

/ mur 236-25-6

Dated, Bangalore, the February 1927.

A payment of Rs. 1-0-4 per mensem was being made for a long time as part of the said Acharyapurusha Mariyade, even long before the revision of the Tasdik Putti of the Sri Ranganathaswamy temple at Tirumale, by Mr. A. Srinivasachari in 1896, when the monthly allowance was raised to Rs. 1-4-0 with the stipulation that the incumbent should perform "Bhashya Parayana" in the said temple. Ramachandrachar states that he is not bound to perform the "Bhashya Parayana" but claims the allowance as Mariyade to the Acharyapurush and prays that the arrears due to him may be paid to him. In the recent revision of the scale of expenditure sanctioned in Government Order No. 1133-35/Muz.45-18-2 dated the 17th October 1918 another man has been appointed for the Parayana. The Muzrai Commissioner reports that it is unnecessary to insist on the petitioner's doing Parayana service and recommends that the payment to the petitioner may be reduced from Rs. 1-4-0 to Rs. 1-0-4 as it was before the revision, the same being treated as part of the Acharyapurusha Mariyade and also that the arrears may also be paid to him at that rate.

2. In the circumstances, Government are pleased to sanction the Muzrai Commissioner's recommendation.

3. In paying arrears the years for which the allowance has

2.

not been passed should be carefully verified.

Int. Dept.

hu

Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

h

g

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore returning enclosures
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore.

C.R.G.3.